

CS20a: Models (Nov 14, 2002)

- Alternative models
 - Primitive recursive functions
 - Partial recursive functions
 - Recursion theorem
 - Rice's theorem
- Lambda Calculus
 - Recursion theorem
 - Rice's theorem
- Arithmetic
 - Recursion theorem
 - Godel's incompleteness theorem (aka Rice's theorem)



Arithmetic

- Let's do the same for arithmetic
- Arithmetic is:
 - Operators: +, -, *, /, ...
 - First-order logic
- First, let's define logic



Defining logics

- A logic is defined in three parts
 - Syntax
 - Define what is "true"
 - Define derivation procedures



Defining the syntax

Start with a countable set of propositional letters P, Q, R, \dots
 Define the propositions inductively:

- \top (true) is a proposition
- \perp (false) is a proposition
- Any propositional letter is a proposition
- If A is a proposition, so is $\neg A$ (negation)
- If A and B are propositions, so are
 - $A \wedge B$ (conjunction)
 - $A \vee B$ (disjunction)
 - $A \Rightarrow B$ (implication)



Standard syntax definition

Propositions:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 e ::= \top \mid \perp \\
 \quad \mid P, Q, R, \dots \\
 \quad \mid \neg e \\
 \quad \mid e \wedge e \\
 \quad \mid e \vee e \\
 \quad \mid e \Rightarrow e
 \end{array}$$



Semantics

- The semantics of constants: $\top = 1, \perp = 0$
- The semantics of a propositional letter is its truth value.
- The semantics of a compound proposition is determined by truth tables.

A	B	$A \vee B$	A	B	$A \wedge B$	A	B	$A \wedge B$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1



Derivations

- Truth tables are hard to use
- We want a mechanical method for proving propositions
- Use sequents and truth judgments



Sequents

- A *sequent* has the form $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$
- Δ is a list of propositions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$
- Γ is a *context* containing a list of propositions β_1, \dots, β_n
- We can extend valuations to sequents, to get the following semantics:
 - A sequent $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \vdash \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is true if some α_i is true whenever β_1, \dots, β_n are all true.



Derivations

- There are two kinds of inference rules
 - *Introduction* rules operate on the right of the turnstile
 - *Elimination* rules operate on the left of the turnstile
- The base axiom

$$\frac{}{\Gamma_1, \alpha, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \alpha, \Delta_2} \text{ axiom}$$



Introduction rules, part I

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \top, \Delta_2} \text{ true intro}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \alpha, \Delta_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \beta, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \alpha \wedge \beta, \Delta_2} \text{ and intro}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \alpha, \beta, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \alpha \vee \beta, \Delta_2} \text{ or intro}$$



Introduction rules, part II

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \Delta_1, \beta, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \Delta_2} \text{ implies intro}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \neg \alpha, \Delta_2} \text{ not intro}$$



Elimination rules

$$\frac{}{\Gamma_1, \perp, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ false elim}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \alpha, \beta, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma_1, \alpha \wedge \beta, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ and elim}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \alpha, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma_1, \beta, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma_1, \alpha \vee \beta, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ or elim}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \beta, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \alpha, \Delta}{\Gamma_1, \alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ implies elim}$$



Currying

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{A, B \vdash A}{5} \quad \frac{A, B \vdash B}{6}}{A, B \vdash A \wedge B}{4} \quad \frac{C, A, B \vdash C}{3}}{(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C, A, B \vdash C}{2}}{\vdash ((A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C))}{1}$$



First-order logic

- Add *atomic formulas* $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of various arities.
- Add *atomic predicates* $P(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ of various arities.

$$\begin{aligned} a &::= f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ e &::= \top \mid \perp \\ &\quad \mid P(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad \mid \neg e \\ &\quad \mid e \wedge e \\ &\quad \mid e \vee e \\ &\quad \mid e \Rightarrow e \\ &\quad \mid \forall v. e \\ &\quad \mid \exists v. e \end{aligned}$$



Some examples

- $\forall x. \text{big}(x) \Rightarrow \text{heavy}(x)$
- $\forall i. (i + 1) > i$
- $\forall i. \exists j. j > i$
- $\exists i. \forall j. j \leq i$



Fixpoint theorem for arithmetic

Theorem (Fixpoint theorem)

For any formula $\psi(y)$ with free variable y , there is a sentence φ with no free variables s.t. $\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$.



Fixpoint proof

- Let $\rho(y) = \psi(\text{SUBST}'(y))$
- so $\rho(x_0) = \psi(\text{SUBST}'(x_0))$
- Let $\varphi = \rho(\ulcorner \rho(x_0) \urcorner)$
 - $\varphi \Leftrightarrow \rho(\ulcorner \rho(x_0) \urcorner)$
 - $\Leftrightarrow \psi(\text{SUBST}'(\ulcorner \rho(x_0) \urcorner))$
 - $\Leftrightarrow \psi(\text{SUBST}(\ulcorner \rho(x_0) \urcorner, \ulcorner x_0 \urcorner, \ulcorner \rho(x_0) \urcorner))$
 - $\Leftrightarrow \psi(\ulcorner \rho(\ulcorner \rho(x_0) \urcorner) \urcorner)$
 - $\Leftrightarrow \psi(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$



Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem

Theorem (Gödel's Incompleteness theorem)

There is a formula that is not provable.

Proof

- Define a formula $\text{UNPROVABLE}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$ iff φ is not provable.
- This is a formula in arithmetic
 - $\psi(y) \equiv \text{UNPROVABLE}(y) \equiv \text{UNPROVABLE}(\ulcorner \psi(y) \urcorner)$
- Consider, for some φ , the formula

$$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \text{UNPROVABLE}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner)$$


