

**California Institute of Technology  
Department of Computer Science  
Computer Architecture**

CS184a, Winter 2005

Feedback Questionnaire

Friday, March 4

---

Please, answer the following questions to provide me with feedback about the course so I can figure out how to tune it in the future. (...and perhaps for next quarter...)

Return the questionnaire at the end of class or to Gloria Bain (256 JRG) [today if at all possible]. Questionnaire's will be treated as anonymous submissions. I will ask Gloria to hold them for me until after I've assigned grades.

Questions start next page.

1. Coherence and structure of course – Obviously, I thought this was a very logical and structured approach to the material. How well did it come together for you? (1=“you’re kidding, right? I thought it was a scattered set of unrelated topics.”, 10=“yes, I can see we’re just a few steps away from a scientific, principled approach to machine organization.”)

What didn’t come together for you?

2. Breadth of Topics: (1=Too few, 5=Just Right, 10=Too many)

3. Any topics you felt were missing (short-changed)?

4. Best Lecture(s):  
(any comments on why appreciated)

5. Worst Lecture(s):  
(any comments on why appreciated)

6. Assignments:

Assignment	Value	Hours	% grunge	comment
<b>logic</b>				
<b>arith</b>				
<b>ucode</b>				
<b>instr</b>				
<b>compute</b>				
<b>wiring</b>				
<b>interconnect</b>				
<b>retime</b>				

(value: 1=I just did this for the grade, 10=It really brought home the key points being made in the class)

7. Reading Quantity: (1=Entirely Inadequate, 5=Just Right, 10=Way Too Much)

8. Reading Level of Difficulty: (1=Too Trivial, 5=Just Right, 10=Too Technical, or assumes too much)

9. Worst aspect of course (needs improving most)?

10. In general, what needs improving?

11. General Comments: